

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/935,557	GUNTHER, ERIK
	Examiner Ardin Marschel	Art Unit 1631

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ardin Marschel (Exr.).

(3) _____

(2) George Renzoni (Appl. Rep.).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) based on Daniels et al. (P/N 6,368,794)

Claims discussed:

rejected claims in general

Prior art documents discussed:

Daniels et al. (P/N 6,368,794)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Renzoni was informed of the general status of this application as several claims still being rejected based on Daniels et al. and that an Advisory action will be mailed shortly summarizing a response to applicant's arguments, filed 5/31/05.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)